9 JAN 1959

MINORANDIM FOR: Assistant Director for Research and Reports

THROUGH:

Chief, Ecroomic Pesearch, ORR

FROM:

Chief, Innustrial Division, CRR

SEJECT:

Comments on Braft DCIB 3/4, "Production of Guided Missile and Astronautics Intelligence" (Prepared

by GMIC, 31 December 1958)

1. The discussion which follows centers around the meaning and implication of paragraph 4.c. on page 5 of subject document which reads in part:

Specific responsibilities of the CMAIC shall include the following:

- c. To produce (1) coordinated drafts of national intelligence estimates, ...
- what appears in the current CMIC charter ("Preparing coordinated contributions for...") and since the wording is consistent with that of the JARIC charter, it is assumed that the procedure which is proposed for CMIC is to be the same as that of JARIC. This would imply that CMIC would draft and coordinate the estimate to be presented to the USIR for its approval. The usual MIE procedure of contributions to OME, OME Staff draft, and coordination of the MIE by the Board and the usual WHE representatives for MIE's would be circumvented. The procedure would allow a very limited assumt of time for a very general review of the CMIC draft by the Board of Mational Estimates, which could then recommend changes (pressure-ably to CMIC and the DCI).
- 3. The arguments presented in the attached ONE memoranium to the Director (perticularly, paragraphs 3 and 4) are basic to the decision which he must make; it is recommended that this Office indorse ONE's recommendations in subparagraphs 6. b. and c. and support AD/RE in this matter to the fullest extent.

SUBJECT: Comments on Braft DCID 3/4

- AD/ME's memorandum, the Eccasmic Research Area has serious reservations as to GME's capability to evaluate our contribution of the broad economic cost and feasibility considerations which have been and should be an important part of NIE's on the over-all capabilities of the Sino-Seviet Mee in the field of guided missiles and space activities. These reservations center around the fart that GMEC does not now have nor is it likely to have, the capability to evaluate our contributions to such estimates. Although our contributions are good and have significantly improved the over-all quality of these estimates, we feel that they deserve the type of detailed review and constructive criticism which only the Staff and Board have been shie to give to this subject which so greatly influences US foreign and desestic policy. The proposed DCID would not parent this.
- 5. Furthermore, if certain alternative paragraphs in other parts of the subject paper are approved, CMIC would be barred from writing the over-all type of estimate which is required for national policy decisions. Specifically, if paragraph 3. b. (1), page 3, as recommended by the military services is approved, CMIC would not have a substantive responsibility for numerical requirements or deployment and would be limited to writing an estimate covering only scientific, technical and production aspects of the problem. The CIA recommendation for this paragraph would give CMIC the broadness of coverage necessary for an over-all estimate.
- 6. Another elternative procedure might meet most of the objections to the current procedure and that proposed in the subject BCID. The steps involved in this procedure are cutlined in Table I and are compared with the other two currently under consideration. This elternative differs from the DCID procedure in that the estimate is drafted by the CME Staff rather than by CMC and is coordinated by the Board of Maticaul Estimates together with the CMC representatives. An additional advantage would be that CME would have more time to carry out its other functions which could improve the intelligence on which the estimate depends.

25X1A9a

Distribution:

Orig. and 1 - Addressee

1 - Ch/E1 - D/I

D/I :1wk/2345

25X1A9a

SECRET